

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	05/29/64	GROHE	K	BAYER-5844

FIRUNG, HORN, KRAMER & HOODS 603 THIRD AVE: NEW YORK, NY 10016

EXAMINER					
TURNIFSEED					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
129	4				
DATE MAILED:	04/09/85				

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIO	NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response	to this action is set to expiremonth(s),	days from the date of this letter.
1. Notice of References Cited by 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applica	Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Fant, PTO-1449 4. Notice of in	Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. A Claims 1-34	/	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		have been cancelled.
3. Claims		are allowed.
4. Th Claims 1-34		are rejected.
5. Claims		
		_
7. This application has been fil		
		These drawings are acceptable;
10. The proposed drawing co	orrection and/or the proposed additional or substitute red by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (se	e sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ee explanation).
the Patent and Trademark O corrected. Corrections <u>MUS</u> EFFECT DRAWING CHANG	office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applic \underline{T} be effected in accordance with the instructions set for $\underline{SES''}$, PTO-1474.	rth on the attached letter invoking violation of how
12. Acknowledgment is made of	the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified	d copy has been received not been received on 10/22/82.
13. [7] Since this application appe	ee under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	india, processor
14. [_] Other	45	7
	This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response Failure to respond within the period for re Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHM I. Notice of References Cited by 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applica 5. Information on How to Effect if Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims	A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

The references are those cited in the parent files.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for

priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has

been filed in parent application, Serial No. 06/436,112,

filed on October 22, 1982.

Claims 1-34 are in this case.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1,86S.Ct. 684, 15 L.Ed. 2nd 545 (1966) 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 are summarized as follows:

 Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;

1.1.8

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue;

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Claims 1-15 and 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Irikura, et al. in combination with Nakagome, et al.

Irikura et al disclose a class of compounds analogous to those of the instant claims wherein the 1-position is substituted by an alkyl or alkenyl group.

Nakagome, et al. discloses analogous class of compounds and teach the equivalency between the 1-position alkyl, alkenyl and cycloalkyl. Each of the references teach the same utility as the compounds of the instant claims. Therefore, the instantly claimed compounds would be readily suggested to one of skill in the art.

Claims 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Matsumoto, et al.

The reference to Matsumoto, et al, teaches the methods of the instant claims employing analogous reactants. The instantly claimed processes would be readily suggested to one skilled in the art.

All claims are rejected.

49



Serial No. 614,923

Art Unit 123

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner J. H. Turnipseed at telephone number 703-557-3920.

Turnipseed:ebw

3/4/85

RICHARD L. RAYMOND PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 129

50